Review Article

BIOMEDICAL Journal of Scientific & Technical Research

ISSN: 2574 -1241

DOI: 10.26717/BJSTR.2025.61.009588

# Innovative Teaching Strategies for Medical Students: Encouraging Reflection on Patient Experiences Through Creative Methods and Meaningful Connections

**Alber Fares***

*Assistant Professor of Biochemistry, Xavier University School of Medicine, Aruba*

***Corresponding author:** Alber Fares, Assistant Professor of Biochemistry, Xavier University School of Medicine, Aruba

**ARTICLE INFO**

**Received:** March 25, 2025

**Published:** April 10, 2025

**Citation:** Alber Fares. Innovative Teaching Strategies for Medical Students: Encouraging Reflection on Patient Experiences Through Creative Methods and Meaningful Connections. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res 61(2)-2025. BJSTR. MS.ID.009588.

**ABSTRACT**

By integrating reflective practices and innovative learning techniques, medical educators strive to enhance students' understanding and influence patient outcomes. This research explores various educational strategies to foster empathy, critical thinking, and comprehensive knowledge. Several techniques are highlighted as essential tools to enrich the learning experience. Encouraging medical students to engage with real-life patient scenarios aims to develop empathetic and well-rounded healthcare professionals who are better prepared to address and treat patients' needs. Through an extensive review of existing literature and clinical trials, this study will assess the impacts of lifestyle modifications, pharmacological treatments, and emerging therapies on alleviating these conditions. The objective is to offer valuable insights that will guide healthcare students in future research and clinical practices, providing hope for improved quality of life for those affected.

**Keywords:** Innovative; Learning; Techniques; Reflective; Practices

**Abbreviations:** GMC: General Medical Council; DL: Didactic Lectures; FDs: Faculty Development Programs

## Introduction

Innovation has been part of the medical education landscape, although it has been confined to new medical schools and small activities in a single course or clerkship [1]. However, in the past decade, every medical school in North America has embarked on curricular change and/or educational innovation [2,3]. Remarkable changes include updated content, innovative instructional methods, new curricular structures, and creative approaches to assessment. The pace of innovation has increased, driven by environmental trends reshaping medical education, making new teaching and learning methods both essential and feasible [4]. These trends encompass the need for heightened clinical productivity due to managed care, the establishment of a multidisciplinary culture among researchers and health professionals, the rise of a new science of learning along with enabling technologies, shifts in health care requirements for a diverse population, and calls for accountability [4]. Each of these forces is briefly outlined, along with the corresponding innovations in medical education [4]. Education is a guiding light, illuminating the right path for humanity to advance [5]. Its aim goes beyond mere literacy; it fosters rational thinking, knowledge, and self-sufficiency. Critical thinking is essential for ensuring safe, competent, and skilled practice [5]. Innovation creatively combines knowledge, skills, and attitudes to generate new, original, and rational ideas [5]. There is a continual need for innovation in medical education to equip nurses to adapt to and work in evolving settings [5]. As experts assert, the healthcare field has long valued innovation in practice and education. Over time, healthcare professionals have faced challenges such as shortages of students and educators, technological advancements, changes in healthcare delivery models, a more diverse patient population, and a shift towards patient-centered care [5]. An educator strives to convey knowledge as they perceive it; therefore, any communication method that achieves this goal without undermining its purpose can be deemed an innovative teaching method [5]. Numerous medical schools have initiated curricular modifications emphasizing core primary care clinical clerkships [6]. Incorporate learning objectives and competencies deemed essential for future healthcare delivery, and include

Faculty development and internal evaluation elements [6]. Many schools that have adopted these curricular changes now require students to engage directly with managed care organizations and participate in specific demonstration projects to equip them with the knowledge, skills, and attitudes necessary for effective care management [6]. Health education is critical in improving patients' quality of life and is essential in healthcare. The significance of medical education is that discussing treatment options and promoting holistic health skills can foster patient engagement [7]. Efforts in medical education to translate science into practical clinical applications necessitate a framework of interdisciplinary collaboration [8]. This framework should integrate working platforms that promote exchanging knowledge, expertise, and tools [8]. Central to this translational process is the concept of creativity, which is described as a combination of skills essential for generating original and valuable ideas [8]. While creativity is widely regarded as a critical skill to develop, it remains challenging to define and even more so to measure [9]. In recent years, numerous studies have highlighted the link between the humanities and biomedical sciences. Although integrating humanities into biomedical programs may not consistently boost students' knowledge, it enriches their critical observation abilities and fosters a greater sense of empathy [10]. Additionally, it provides advantages beyond traditional teaching methods for both students and educators, inspiring learners to grasp concepts beyond the limitations of the textbook [11]. Considering these challenges, educators must develop innovative strategies to enrich teaching and learning while boosting student engagement [12].

They were also tasked with refining pedagogical practices to better equip graduates for future careers. Given the essence of creativity, engaging in reflective practice is crucial for fostering self-awareness and enhancing creative thinking skills [12]. The achievement of desired learning outcomes in students is influenced by instructional strategies [13]. Medical educators must understand various learning styles and adapt to different learning contexts [14]. The General Medical Council (GMC) outlines Good Medical Practice, which encompasses providing comprehensive clinical care, practical teaching and training, professionalism, polite communication with patients, and maintaining strong interpersonal relationships with colleagues [15]. The supervision of medical trainees in their workplace is crucial for their educational journey [16]. Constructive feedback from supervisors is vital to foster learning and enhance the competencies of trainees [17]. A systematic review demonstrated a consensus among supervisors regarding the principles of delivering effective feedback for the clinical supervision of postgraduate trainees [18]. Supervisors play a key role in guiding medical trainees in acquiring clinical knowledge and core competencies by creating a supportive learning environment, which correlates with patient satisfaction [19]. Clinical training is a dynamic process that involves the development of all necessary skills through lectures, bedside teaching, performing procedures, patient counseling, and undergoing various assessments [20]. Students' learning approaches are influenced by numerous factors, including the characteristics of the departments and teaching methods they experience. These elements significantly affect whether students choose a surface, deep, or strategic approach to learning [21]. To further investigate the connection between educational context and learning strategies, a comparison was conducted between students from a traditional medical school and those from a problem-based medical school.

The findings revealed significant differences, indicating that students in the problem-based school demonstrated a higher tendency for deep learning and a lower tendency for surface learning than their traditional school counterparts [21]. Didactic lectures (DL) have been the gold standard and the most common method of traditional teaching and learning practice. DL depends on the instructor, who teaches substantial information with minimal student engagement. It is typically conducted in an instructor-centered classroom, centralizing students' knowledge, content, and involvement [22]. Despite traditional preferences for simplicity of lecture presentations, appropriateness for crowded classes, and the ability to present massive amounts of theoretical content, students are exposed to large amounts of information, making it challenging to retain, remember, and interpret [23]. However, learning is an active process, and the students and faculty must work together to make this knowledge- sharing process enjoyable and easier to comprehend. For effective learning, teaching should facilitate the development of analytical approaches to a problem and address critical areas [24]. Students should be able to use knowledge and skills obtained in the class to satisfy their professional goals while being equipped with different learning styles and having the opportunity for feedback and discussions on their learning process, thereby enhancing students' learning effects [24]. Thus, it becomes essential to utilize an approach to teaching and learning that best meets the specific needs of the students [25]. For this reason, modern education systems should encompass multiple alternative teaching and learning strategies that are well-validated and applicable to a typical classroom setting in medical schools [22].

## Theoretical Framework

For this research, the Constructivist Theory, Learner-Centered Theory, and Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development were chosen to illustrate how learner-centered instruction in medical science fosters greater student engagement and success. The theories discussed in the upcoming subsections bolster the researcher's claim that heightened student involvement and passion for medical science topics improve the learning experience.

### Constructivist Theory

The constructivist theory posits that learning is influenced by prior knowledge and experiences, with new knowledge built upon what has already been learned [26]. Learners create new knowledge based on their earlier learning experiences [26]. This existing knowl-

edge shapes an individual's ability to adapt to new learning opportunities [26]. "Learning, therefore, is unique to the individual learner. Students adapt their models of understanding either by reflecting on prior theories or by resolving misconceptions" (Constructivism, para. 1) [27]. Constructivist theory is a valuable framework for understanding how learners form mental representations when engaging in cognitive processing during their educational experiences [28]. Constructivism can also be seen as an instructional approach where learners actively participate in the teaching process. Furthermore, social constructivism emphasizes that collaborative learning emerges from individual interactions [29]. A student's cultural development manifests in two stages: initially on a social level and subsequently on an individual level, first occurring between individuals (inter-psychological) and later within the student (intra- psychological) [29]. This study is grounded in constructivist theory and social constructivism because educators who grasp the essence of constructivism learning recognize that their students come with diverse experiences. Faculty members can cultivate a distinctive learning atmosphere by applying constructivism in their classrooms. Constructivism markedly differs from traditional ones, relying on four essential characteristics for success [30]:

- Knowledge is shared between faculties and students.
- Authority is distributed between faculties and students.
- Faculties serve as guides or facilitators.
- Learning groups comprise small numbers of students.

Educators foster collaborative environments where students actively engage in social constructivist classrooms [30]. Rather than merely instructing, faculties function as facilitators of learning [30]. They must comprehend students' pre-existing conceptions and weave new knowledge into those frameworks. This approach allows faculties to adapt their instructions to align with each learner's performance level. Constructivism is "an approach to learning that holds that people actively construct or make their philosophy and that fact is determined by the learner's experiences" [31]. Classrooms that embrace constructivism prioritize student questions and interests, building on learners' existing knowledge and emphasizing student-centered, interactive learning. In these settings, faculties engage in dialogue with students to facilitate knowledge construction, with negotiation at the core of discussions and students working in groups [31]. Constructivists typically feature small group work, collaborative activities, and open dialogues that address students' needs. In traditional science classes, students often find little relevance in their studies [30]. Constructivist science instructors encourage group learning, where pairs or small groups of students tackle real- world problems with minimal faculty intervention [32]. Unlike traditional educators who view each situation as having a single solution, constructivist faculties explore students' perspectives on problems and the rationale behind their proposed solutions [32]. They also assist students in linking prior experiences to current contexts.

## Learner-Centered Theory

Learner-centered theory is an educational perspective that empowers learners to express their opinions on what defines a positive learning environment and instructional methods that cater to their academic needs [33,34]. The adequate faculties working with high-achieving students implement learner-centered strategies in science education [35]. These approaches shift the focus from traditional lecture-based teaching and activity-centered curricula, making science more relevant, engaging, and appealing. Rather than concentrating solely on teaching methods that simply convey scientific content, learner-centered strategies foster deep learning, cultivating critical and skilled learners [35]. Reforming science education through learner-centered instruction actively involves students in various scientific practices, aligning with national efforts to enhance science teaching [36]. A learner-centered approach to teaching science necessitates transitioning from traditional teacher-centered instruction to more student-focused methods. The key aspect of these instructional methods lies in who engages in sense-making [37]. In a teacher-centered environment, the faculty performs sense-making and conveys it to students through lectures, textbooks, and confirmatory activities, where each step is directed by the faculty. In learner-centered instruction, the responsibility for sense-making shifts to the students, with the faculty serving as a facilitator who supports their engagement in scientific practices [37]. Numerous small-scale, targeted studies demonstrate the effectiveness of learner-centered instruction in enabling students to develop scientific [37,38]. The instructional goal in these classrooms is to assist students in understanding scientific explanations, which represent only a portion of scientific proficiency.

## Vygotsky's Social Learning Theory

Vygotsky asserts that social learning plays a crucial role in cognitive development, emphasizing that the cultural context surrounding a student, rather than their developmental stage, fosters this growth. He contends that learning is not a universal process, as proposed by Piaget, but varies across diverse cultures. Vygotsky suggests that the social environment in which students learn significantly influences their thought processes. Students engage with essential tools during their interactions with their sociocultural surroundings, utilizing what their culture offers. For instance, while Western cultures may favor notetaking, mind maps, or mnemonics for memory, other cultures might employ storytelling as a memory tool [27]. It is vital to explore the social dynamics within the classroom. Concepts are initially practiced through dialogue between faculties and students, facilitating the development of personal understandings of scientific knowledge. This discussion equips students with cognitive tools that mediate their thought processes. Language serves as a tool for personal reflection. Vygotsky's focus on the social origins of distinct mental processes is evident in his exploration of language functions. He argues, "A sign is always originally a means used for social purposes, a means for influencing others, and only later becomes a means of influencing oneself" [39].

## Finding

The school and its faculty must provide a comprehensive and integrative curriculum that bridges theoretical knowledge with practical application to support medical students in understanding both fundamental and clinical medical sciences. This can include incorporating hands-on experiences through simulations and clinical rotations that allow students to apply what they have learned in real-world settings. Fostering a collaborative learning environment where students can engage in interdisciplinary projects and discussions will enhance their ability to think critically and work effectively within diverse healthcare teams. Encouraging mentorship programs and providing access to resources such as workshops, seminars, and guest lectures from industry professionals can further enrich the educational experience.

## Innovative Strategies for School and Faculty

### Professional Development

Professional development involves offering regular learning sessions, follow-up coaching, and feedback to enhance science instruction [40]. Faculty development, often synonymous with professional development, refers to any organized activity to improve an individual's knowledge and skills in areas vital for faculty performance [41]. The academic medicine faculty engage in diverse roles that bolster academic medical institutions' clinical, educational, research, and administrative/leadership missions. Supporting faculty growth in these areas is crucial for individual clinicians' professional advancement and for the overall success of divisions, departments, and institutions. Within each academic division or department, well-structured and adaptable faculty development programs (FDPs) are essential for guiding and empowering faculty while boosting academic productivity. On the other hand, insufficient support for individual professional development and the lack of formal FDPs can lead to reduced engagement and higher faculty turnover [42,43].

### Educational Influences

Every school has a unique set of values, attitudes, and behaviors that shape its culture [44]. The structure of student intake reflects the school's characteristics, management style, medical science curriculum offered, and career guidance available to students [45]. Students' interest in enrolling in advanced classes is significantly affected by ability-tracking programs, which limit options for those who have fewer opportunities [46]. These studies provide a framework for understanding how schools and various influences affect students' decisions regarding science and their overall experiences with it. In addition to school-related factors, external elements such as the medical science curriculum and faculty play a significant role [46].

### The Course Outline and Content Challenge

Research over the years highlights the impact of the taught curriculum on students' decisions to pursue science [47]. A considerable amount of literature has concentrated on the significance of the medical science curriculum regarding students' future careers [48]. Many students view science education in schools as excessively focused on content and lacking connections to real-world applications [49]. The teaching methods employed in schools often create the impression that "students were being frog-marched across the scientific landscape, from one feature to another, with no time to stand and stare or absorb what it was that they had just learned" [49]. The recent emphasis on socio-scientific issues within curriculum reforms was expected to enhance participation in medical science after mandatory education [50]. Nonetheless, there has been no significant change in enrollment for post- compulsory medical science courses [51]. Another element that may influence students' interest in medical science is the perception that it is challenging. Many students regard biochemistry as the most difficult area of study [52]. The anxiety about not understanding scientific concepts might discourage students from pursuing education in this field or considering science-related careers [53].

### Faculty Influence

Highly motivated and well-qualified faculty members are a key factor influencing students' perspectives on medical science [54-56]. An increasing amount of research has examined the impact of faculty on student achievement and engagement in the classroom. Educators who create enjoyable lessons through innovative teaching methods or engaging activities successfully capture and hold students' attention [49]. Ultimately, the quality of teaching and learning plays a vital role in shaping students' educational experiences and outcomes [56].

## Suggested Innovative Teaching Strategies for Medical Students

### Simulation

Integrating technology to improve learning and engagement is essential [57]. Virtual and augmented reality can replicate intricate medical procedures and anatomy within a safe and controlled setting, enabling students to acquire practical experience without the dangers of real-life practice [57]. Simulation involves creating an artificial, often simplified representation of a complex real-world process, designed with enough fidelity to meet specific objectives, such as training or performance evaluation. The goal is to enhance learning through immersion in clinical scenarios, reflective thinking, constructive feedback, and practice, all while avoiding the risks associated with actual experiences [57].

### Problem-Based Learning

Traditional education often divides the basic science segment from the clinical segment. In a typical curriculum, the approach is instructor-centered, featuring large group lectures, tutorials, structured laboratory sessions, and periodic assessments of achievement [58]. Problem-based learning, on the other hand, is an instructional approach where students engage in facilitated problem-solving.

Here, student learning revolves around a complex problem that lacks a correct solution. Students collaborate in groups to determine what they need to learn to address the problem [59]. Educational research suggests that this teaching format is often unstructured, leaving skill acquisition to chance, with minimal quality control, inadequate monitoring of students, and infrequent feedback [59]. Recent studies have highlighted the impact of problem-based learning during medical school training [60,61]. This approach encourages critical thinking, collaboration, and applying theoretical knowledge to practical scenarios.

### Flipped Classroom

Educators must adopt innovative teaching methods to equip this generation for future challenges, especially as training periods become shorter [62]. One such approach is the flipped or inverted classroom model, which empowers learners to enhance their critical thinking skills and effectively absorb substantial amounts of information through active engagement [62]. In this model, students read and comprehend material at home, while classroom time is dedicated to higher-order learning activities such as analysis, evaluation, and application of foundational concepts [62].

### Integrating Interdisciplinary Learning

Interdisciplinary collaboration is recognized for promoting professional innovation and improving student learning across various fields [63]. It can provide students with a broader perspective on patient care. By collaborating with peers from nursing, pharmacy, and other healthcare disciplines, medical students can develop a more comprehensive understanding of the healthcare system and the importance of teamwork [63].

### Mentorship Programs

Mentoring is recognized as essential for achieving fulfilling careers in medicine; however, many countries lack formal mentoring programs for medical students. Connecting students with seasoned professionals can provide valuable guidance, support, and insight into the realities of a medical career. These relationships can motivate students to pursue excellence and assist them in overcoming the challenges of medical education [64,65].

## Discussion

By adopting these strategies, schools can better prepare future medical practitioners to meet the challenges of the ever-evolving healthcare landscape. Simulation-based learning and virtual reality tools are becoming increasingly prevalent, allowing students to practice procedures and experience patient interactions in a controlled, risk-free environment. These technological advancements enhance technical skills and improve decision-making abilities and confidence, ensuring that students are well-prepared for real-world clinical settings. By adopting a comprehensive approach that combines empathy, critical thinking, interdisciplinary collaboration, and technology, medical educators can create a transformative educational experience. This prepares students to become compassionate, competent, and innovative healthcare professionals capable of significantly impacting patient outcomes and advancing the field of medicine. Integrating theoretical knowledge with practical application means that students should focus on memorizing facts and understanding how to apply this knowledge in real-world clinical settings.

## Conclusion

By fostering an environment where curiosity and continuous learning are encouraged, educators can inspire students to seek new knowledge and stay abreast of the latest advancements in medical science. Dynamic education equips future healthcare providers with the necessary skills and expertise and instills a lifelong commitment to patient-centered care. Additionally, incorporating diverse perspectives and cultural competence training helps students understand and address the unique needs of different patient populations, promoting equity and inclusiveness in healthcare delivery. As these future professionals grow in their careers, they will be better prepared to collaborate effectively, adapt to emerging challenges, and contribute to a healthcare system that is more responsive, resilient, and sustainable.

## References

1. Bloom S (1988) Structure and ideology in medical education: an analysis of resistance to change. J Health Soc Behav 29(4): 294-306.
2. Anderson M B (2000) A snapshot of medical students' education at the beginning of the 21st century: reports from 130 schools. Acad Med 75(9): 1-460.
3. (2000) The Association of American Medical Colleges. The Education of Medical Students: Ten Case Studies of Curriculum Change. New York, NY: Milbank Memorial Fund and the Association of American Medical Colleges.
4. Irby D M, Wilkerson L (2003) Educational Innovations in Academic Medicine and Environmental Trends. J GEN INTERN MED. 18(5): 370-376.
5. Rao B J (2019) Innovative Teaching Pedagogy in Nursing Education. International Journal of Nursing Education 11(4): 176-180.
6. Rabinowitz H K, Babbott D, Bastacky S, Pascoe J M, Patel K K, et al. (2001) Innovative approaches to educating medical students for practice in a changing health care environment: The national UME-21 project. Academic Medicine 76(6): 587-597.
7. Kosiba G, Gacek M, Wojtowicz A, Majer M (2019) Level of knowledge regarding health as well as health education and pro-health behaviors among students of physical education and other teaching specializations. Balt J Health Phys Act 11(1): 83-95.
8. Sternberg R J (2001) What is the common thread of creativity? Its dialectical relation to intelligence and wisdom. American Psychologist 56(4): 360-362.
9. Jenkins P (2023) What makes a story a narrative: Understanding the key elements.
10. Collett T J, McLachlan J C (2005) Does 'doing art' inform students' learning of anatomy? Med Educ 39(5): 521.

11. Platt K M, Salmerón K E, Hatcher A R (2021) The art of anatomy: engaging student creativity in undergraduate anatomy courses. Human Anat Physiol Soc Educ 25(3): 64-74.
12. Osterman K F (1990) Reflective practice: a new agenda for education. Educ Urban Soc 22(2): 133-152.
13. Kim S, Raza M, Seidman E (2019) Improving 21st-century teaching skills: The key to effective 21st-century learners. Research in Comparative and International Edu 14(1): 99-117.
14. Ralhan S, Bhogal P, Bhatnagar G, Young J, Green M (2012) Effective teaching skills – How to become a better medical educator. B M J 344: e765.
15. Papnikitas A, Lunan C (2018) Inside general practice ethics: guidelines 'and' 'of' or 'for' good clinical practice. London Journal of Primary Care 10(2): 34-38.
16. Hore C T, Lancashire W, Fassett R G (2009) Clinical supervision by consultants in teaching hospitals. Med J Aust 191(4): 220-222.
17. Brown N, Cooke L (2009) Giving effective feedback to psychiatric trainees. Adv Psyhiatr Treat 15(2): 123-128.
18. Weallans J, Roberts C, Hamilton S, Parker S (2022) Guidance for providing effective feedback in clinical supervision in postgraduate medical education: a systematic review. Postgraduate Medical Journal 98(1156): 138-149.
19. Keshavarzi M H, Azandehi S K, Koohestani H R, Baradaran H R, Hayat A A, et al. (2022) Exploration the role of a clinical supervisor to improve the professional skills of medical students: a content analysis study. BMC Med Educ 22: 399.
20. Sharifi B, Ghafarian Shirazi H, Momeninejad M, Saniee F, Hashemi N, et al. (2012) A survey of the quality and quantity of clinical education from the viewpoint of medical students. Pars Jahrom Univ Med Sci 10(2): 57-64.
21. Newble I, Clarke R M (1986) The approaches to learning of students in a traditional and in an innovative problem-based medical school. ASME medical education 20(4): 267-273
22. Challa K T, Sayed A, Acharya Y (2021) Modern techniques of teaching and learning in medical education: a descriptive literature review. Avalon University School of Medicine and Western Vascular Institute. Galway University Hospital.
23. Jiraporncharoen W, Angkurawaranon C, Chockjamsai M, Deesomchok A, Euathrongchit J (2015) 'Learning styles and academic achievement among undergraduate medical students in Thailand'. Journal of Educational Evaluation for Health Professions 12(38): 1-7.
24. Sudarso S, Rahayu G R, Suhoyo Y (2016) How does feedback in mini-CEX affect students' learning response?'. International Journal of Medical Education 7: 407-413.
25. Saville B K, Zinn T E, Neef N A, Van Norman R, Summer J Ferreri (2006) A Comparison of Inter-teaching and Lecture in the College Classroom'. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis 39(1): 49-61.
26. Phillips D C (1995) The good, the bad, and the ugly: The many faces of constructivism. Educational researcher 24(7): 5-12.
27. Loveless B (2022) Fifteen learning theories in education. Education Corner blog. American Journal of Educational Research 11(6): 381-388.
28. Mayer R E (2009) Multimedia learning (2nd Ed.). Cambridge University Press.
29. Vygotsky L S (1978) Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.
30. Cole M (1988) Cross-cultural research in the sociohistorical tradition. S. Karger AG, Basel. 31(3): 137-157.
31. Elliott S N, Kratochwill T R, Littlefield Cook J, Travers J (2000) Educational psychology: Effective teaching, effective learning (3rd Edn.)., McGraw-Hill College.
32. Yager R E (1991) The constructivist learning model. The science teacher 58(61): 52-57.
33. McCombs B L (2013a) The learner-centered model: Implications for research approaches, interdisciplinary handbook of the person-centered approach: Connections beyond psychotherapy.
34. McCombs B L (2013b) The learner-centered model: From the vision to the future, interdisciplinary handbook of the person-centered approach: Connections beyond psychotherapy.
35. Taji A (2005) Teaching in the sciences learner-centered approaches. CRC Press.
36. Granger E M, Bevis T H, Saka Y, Southerland S A (2010) Comparing the Efficacy of Reform-Based and Traditional/Verification Curricula to Support Student Learning about Space Science. Science Education and Outreach 431: 151.
37. Duit R (2007) Science Education Research Internationally: Conceptions, research methods, domains of research. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education 3(1): 3-15.
38. Donovan M S, Bransford J D (2005) How students learn: History, mathematics, and science in the classroom. National Academy Press.
39. Vygotsky L S (1981) The genesis of higher mental functions. The concept of activity in Soviet psychology. In: J V Wertsch (Edt.)., The Concept of Activity in Soviet Psychology Armonk NY M E Sharpe, pp. 144-188.
40. Gregory J M, Sharpe B A, Weaver C, Niranjan Azadi A, Gupta A, et al. (2023) Faculty Development in Academic Hospital Medicine: a Scoping Review 38(8): 1955-1961.
41. Sheets K J, Schwenk T L (1990) Faculty development for family medicine educators: An agenda for future activities. Teaching and Learning in Medicine 2(3): 141-148.
42. Bucklin B A, Valley M, Welch C, Tran Z V, Lowenstein S R (2014) Predictors of early faculty attrition at one Academic Medical Center. BMC Med Educ 10: 14-27.
43. Lowenstein S R, Fernandez G, Crane L A (2007) Medical school faculty discontent: prevalence and predictors of intent to leave academic careers. BMC Med Educ 7: 37.
44. Rutter M, Maughan B, Mortimore P, Ouston J (1982) Fifteen thousand hours: Secondary schools and their effects on children. Harvard University Press.
45. Bennett J, Lubben F, Hampden Thompson G (2013) Schools that make a difference to post-compulsory uptake of physical science subjects: Some comparative case studies in England. International Journal of Science Education 35(4): 663-689.
46. Smyth E, Hannan C (2006) School effects and subject choice: The uptake of 126 Scientific subjects in Ireland. School Effectiveness and School Improvement 17(3): 303-327.
47. Krapp A, Prenzel M (2011) Research on interest in science: Theories, methods, and finings. International Journal of Science Education 33(1): 27-50.
48. Lord P, Jones M (2006) Pupils' experiences and perspectives of the nation-

al curriculum and assessment: Final report of the research review. National Foundation for Educational Research.

49. Osborne J, Collins S (2001) Pupils' views of the role and value of the science curriculum: A focus-group study. International Journal of Science Education 23(5): 441-467.
50. Millar R (2010) Increasing participation in science beyond GCSE: The impact of twenty first century science. School Science Review 91(337): 67-73.
51. Homer M, Ryder J (2015) The impact of a science qualification emphasizing scientific literacy on post- compulsory science participation: An analysis using national data. International Journal of Science Education 37(9): 1364-1380.
52. Duckworth D, Entwistle N J (1974) Attitudes to school subjects. British Journal of Educational Psychology 44: 76-83.
53. Quinn F, Lyons T (2011) High school students' perceptions of school science and science careers: A critical look at a critical issue. Science Education International (Special Issue) 22(4): 225-238.
54. Bevins S, Brodie E, Thompson M (2008) Exploring the relationship between socio-economic status and participation and attainment in science education 22-29.
55. Hattie J (2003) Teachers make a difference: What is the research evidence? The Australian Council for Educational Research Annual Conference on Building Teacher Quality.
56. Rowe K (2003) The importance of teacher quality as a key determinant of students' experiences and outcomes of schooling. ACER Research Conference.
57. Ogden P E, Cobbs L S, Howell M R, Sibbitt S J, DiPette D J (2007) Clinical simulation: importance to the internal medicine educational mission. Am J Med 120(9): 820-824.
58. Folse R, DaRosa D, Paiva R, Birtch A (1986) A multidisciplinary surgery clerkship: ten-year experience. Surgery 99(2): 178-183.
59. Silver C H (2004) Problem-Based Learning: What and How Do Students Learn? Educational Psychology Review 16(3): 235-266.
60. Nandi P, Chan J, Chan C, Chan P, Chan L (2000) Undergraduate medical education: comparison of problem-based learning and conventional teaching. Hong Kong Medical Journal 6(3): 301-306.
61. Newman M (2003) A pilot systematic review and meta-analysis on the effectiveness of problem-based learning. Newcastle (UK): On behalf of the Campbell Collaboration Systematic Review Group on the Effectiveness of Problem-based Learning, p. 11-13.
62. Singh K, Mahajan R, Gupta P, Singh T (2018) Flipped Classroom: A Concept for Engaging Medical Students in Learning 55(6): 507-512.
63. Yang B H, Wen Lo K, Li Y S, Chao K Y (2024) Effects of integration interdisciplinary learning on student learning outcomes and healthcare-giving competence: a mixed methods study 23: 583.
64. Frei E, Stamm M, Buddeberg Fischer B (2010) Mentoring programs for medical students - a review of the PubMed literature 2000 – 2008. 10: 32.
65. Papanna K, Kulkarni V, Tanvi D, Lakshmi V, Kriti L, et al. (2013) 'Perceptions and preferences of medical students regarding teaching methods in a Medical College, Mangalore India'. African Health Sciences 13(3): 808-813.

**ISSN: 2574-1241**

DOI: 10.26717/BJSTR.2025.61.009588

**Alber Fares.** Biomed J Sci & Tech Res



**Submission Link**: https://biomedres.us/submit-manuscript.php